

Including older fish in fisheries management – a new age-based indicator (ABI_{MSY}) for exploited stocks

Christopher Griffiths, Henning Winker, Valerio Bartolino, Håkan Wennhage, Alessandro Orio and Massimiliano Cardinale

E: christopher.griffiths@slu.se

SLU

General themes

1. Age-structure (ABIMSY)

- a) MSFD Descriptor 3 Criteria 3 of GES 'age and size distribution that is indicative of a healthy stock'
- b) General needs on sustainability, resilience and recovery

2. Can we fish differently?

- a) Shift in management targets/more precautionary
- b) What will reductions in F mean for long term yields?

Case studies and tools for fisheries management





Estimation of reference points (management targets)



Management Strategy Evaluations (MSEs)

Estimation of reference points (management targets)









45 stocks: ABIMSY < 1 (62%) had.27.46a20 27 stocks: ABIMSY > 1 (38%) 1ad.27.5a 1ad.27.5b 22 stocks: ABIMSY < 0.5 (31%) 3a47d hke.27.3a46-8abo hke.27.8c9a hom.27.9a Stock 8c9a Dea meg.27.7b-k8abd NORTH SEA mea mon. 150 m 150 km North Sea 12.00 140.64 79% 50% $ABI_{MSY} < 1$ $ABI_{MSY} < 1$







European plaice in Baltic Sea (ple.27.21-23)

High SSB

Low F and declining

Low proportion of older fish

Indications of stock recovery but increasing F could lead to growth overfishing





Conclusions #1

- A. ABIMSY provides information on the age structure of exploited stocks that aligns well with current ICES stock assessments and advice
- B. Meets the recommendations of the EU Commission and those described in the scientific literature for the MSFDs D3C3 of GES
- C. Has established reference points (age structure at FMSY) that match policy objectives
- D. Is perfectly placed to address two general needs in fisheries management:
 - Does a stock have the age structure it needs to sustain an advised level of catch?
 - Does a stock have enough older fish to recover as expected from fishing?

Management Strategy Evaluations (MSEs)

Estimation of reference points (management targets)





F

HCR performance criteria:

- 1. The probability of SSB falling below Blim in any single year should not exceed a 5% probability
- 2. High long-term yields should be achieved that, ideally, should correspond to fishing at FMSY





ICES Advice on fishing opportunities, catch, and effort Greater North Sea ecoregion Published 09 May 2022



Northern shrimp (Pandalus borealis) in divisions 3.a and 4.a East (Skagerrak and Kattegat and northern North Sea in the Norwegian Deep)

ICES advice on fishing opportunities

ICES advises that when the MSY approach is applied, catches should be no more than 7712 tonnes in 2022, and catches for the first six months of 2023 should be no more than 5882 tonnes.

Stock development over time

Fishing pressure on the stock is below Four, and spawning-stock size is below MSY Brager and Bra but above Bra.









Figure 1 Northern shring (Pandalus bareafis) in divisions 3.a and 4.a East. Summary of the stock assessment. The assumed recruitment value for 2022 is shown in a lighter shade of blue. [Note: B_{pe} = MSY B_{many}]. Spawning-stock biomass (SSB)







ICES Advice on fishing appartunities, catch, and effort Bablic Sea ecoregion Published 31 May 2022 Version 2: 17 June 2022



31.1

Herring (Clupeo harengus) in subdivisions 25-29 and 32, excluding the Gulf of Riga (central Baltic Sea)

ICES advice on fishing opportunities

ICES advises that when the EU multiannual plan (MAP) for the Baltic Sea is applied, catches in 2023 that correspond to the F ranges in the plan are between 70 130 and 95 643 tonnes. The current advice applies to all catches from the stock, including those taken in Subdivision 28.1.

Stock development over time

Fishing pressure on the stock is above Fuer and between Fau and Fau and that spawning stock size is below MSY Berger and between B_{ps} and B_{ps}.



Figure 1 Herring in subdivisions 25–29 and 32, excluding the Gulf of Rigs. Summary of the stock assessment. 558 at spawning time in 2022 is predicted.



Management Strategy Evaluation outcomes for a range of Harvest Control Rules for northern shrimp in divisions 3.a and 4.a East

HCRs		Objectives			
B _{trigger}	F _{target}	B < B _{lim}	Catch/MSY	B/B _{MSY}	
No trigger	F _{MSY}	0.34	1.00	0.82	
60% x B _{MSY}	F _{MSY}	0.28	1.00	0.86	
80% x B _{MSY}	F _{MSY}	0.22	1.01	0.92	
B _{MSY}	F _{MSY}	0.14	1.01	0.99	
60% x B _{25%}	F _{B25%}	0.22	0.97	0.98	
80% x B _{25%}	F _{B25%}	0.17	0.97	1.05	
B _{25%}	F _{B25%}	0.10	0.96	1.12	
60% x B _{30%}	F _{B30%}	0.09	0.98	1.20	
80% x B _{30%}	F _{B30%}	0.06	0.98	1.25	
90% x B _{30%}	F _{B30%}	0.04	0.97	1.28	
B _{30%}	F _{B30%}	0.03	0.97	1.34	
60% x B _{35%}	F _{B35%}	0.02	0.97	1.42	
80% x B _{35%}	F _{B35%}	0.02	0.96	1.47	
90% x B _{35%}	F _{B35%}	0.02	0.95	1.49	
B _{35%}	F _{B35%}	0.02	0.94	1.53	
60% x B _{40%}	F _{B40%}	0.01	0.94	1.63	
80% x B _{40%}	F _{B40%}	0.00	0.93	1.66	
90% x B _{40%}	F _{B40%}	0.00	0.91	1.71	
B _{40%}	F _{B40%}	0.00	0.90	1.76	



HCR accepted – maximises catch

Precautionary HCR – higher B lower F same catch



Management Strategy Evalutions outcomes for a range of Harvest Control Rules for herring in subdivisions 25–29 and 32, excluding the Gulf of Riga.

HCRs		Objectives			
B _{trigger}	F _{target}	B < B _{lim}	Catch/MSY	B/B _{MSY}	
No trigger	F _{MSY}	0.07	1.00	0.81	
60% x B _{MSY}	F _{MSY}	0.06	1.00	0.82	
80% x B _{MSY}	F _{MSY}	0.03	1.00	0.85	
B _{MSY}	F _{MSY}	0.01	1.00	0.90	
60% x B _{20%}	F _{B20%}	0.50	0.92	0.56	
80% x B _{20%}	F _{B20%}	0.38	0.94	0.59	
B _{20%}	F _{B20%}	0.30	0.95	0.64	
60% x B _{25%}	F _{B25%}	0.15	0.98	0.71	
80% x B _{25%}	F _{B25%}	0.09	0.98	0.74	
B _{25%}	F _{B25%}	0.04	0.99	0.79	
60% x B _{30%}	F _{B30%}	0.02	1.01	0.86	
80% x B _{30%}	F _{B30%}	0.01	1.01	0.90	
B _{30%}	F _{B30%}	0.00	1.01	0.95	
60% x B _{35%}	F _{B35%}	0.00	1.01	1.03	
80% x B _{35%}	F _{B35%}	0.00	1.01	1.06	
B _{35%}	F _{B35%}	0.00	1.00	1.11	
60% x B _{40%}	F _{B40%}	0.00	1.00	1.20	
80% x B _{40%}	F _{B40%}	0.00	0.99	1.22	
90% x B _{40%}	F _{B40%}	0.00	0.98	1.24	
B _{40%}	F _{B40%}	0.00	0.98	1.28	
60% x B _{45%}	F _{B45%}	0.00	0.97	1.38	
80% x B _{45%}	F _{B45%}	0.00	0.96	1.40	
90% x B _{45%}	F _{B45%}	0.00	0.96	1.43	
B _{45%}	F _{B45%}	0.00	0.95	1.46	



HCR accepted

Precautionary HCRs – higher B lower F comparable catch



Herring in subdivisions 25–29 and 32, excluding the Gulf of Riga.

HCRs		Objectives			
B _{trigger}	F _{target}	B < B _{lim}	Catch/MSY	B/B _{MSY}	
No trigger	F _{MSY}	0.07	1.00	0.81	
60% x B _{MSY}	F _{MSY}	0.06	1.00	0.82	
80% x B _{MSY}	F _{MSY}	0.03	1.00	0.85	
B _{MSY}	F _{MSY}	0.01	1.00	0.90	
60% x B _{20%}	F _{B20%}	0.50	0.92	0.56	
80% x B _{20%}	F _{B20%}	0.38	0.94	0.59	
B _{20%}	F _{B20%}	0.30	0.95	0.64	
60% x B _{25%}	F _{B25%}	0.15	0.98	0.71	
80% x B _{25%}	F _{B25%}	0.09	0.98	0.74	
B _{25%}	F _{B25%}	0.04	0.99	0.79	
60% x B _{30%}	F _{B30%}	0.02	1.01	0.86	
80% x B _{30%}	F _{B30%}	0.01	1.01	0.90	
B _{30%}	F _{B30%}	0.00	1.01	0.95	
60% x B _{35%}	F _{B35%}	0.00	1.01	1.03	
80% x B _{35%}	F _{B35%}	0.00	1.01	1.06	
B _{35%}	F _{B35%}	0.00	1.00	1.11	
60% x B _{40%}	F _{B40%}	0.00	1.00	1.20	
80% x B _{40%}	F _{B40%}	0.00	0.99	1.22	
90% x B _{40%}	F _{B40%}	0.00	0.98	1.24	
B _{40%}	F _{B40%}	0.00	0.98	1.28	
60% x B _{45%}	F _{B45%}	0.00	0.97	1.38	
80% x B _{45%}	F _{B45%}	0.00	0.96	1.40	
90% x B _{45%}	F _{B45%}	0.00	0.96	1.43	
B _{45%}	F _{B45%}	0.00	0.95	1.46	





Conclusions #2

Fishing at an **F lower** than FMSY in the long term can result in:

- Increased biomass
- Increased abundance of older/larger fish
- Very limited loss in long term catch





SCIENCE AND FOR EDUCATION FOR SUSSIAIRABLE LIFE

Questions

E: christopher.griffiths@slu.se F: https://twitter.com/christophgriff5